

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/832,719	04/11/2001		Robert Leslie Van Oostenbrugge	PHNL 000183 9755		
24737	7590	09/30/2004		EXAMINER		
PHILIPS IN	TELLE	CTUAL PROPE	BONSHOCK, DENNIS G			
P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2173		

DATE MAILED: 09/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/832,719	VAN OOSTENBRUGGE ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dennis G. Bonshock	2173				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 23 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a sinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ad event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the han SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. \$	See MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dishave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nsion and the corresponding amount of the ad statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	(2) as set forth in			
1 A Notice of Appeal was filed on 30 Aug 200 4 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	t's Brief must be filed within the FR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.	RB			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	eling a corresponding number of	f finally rejected clai	ms.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) woul canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request f application in condition for allowance because: §	for reconsideration has been cor See Continuation Sheet.	nsidered but does N	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered by raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLEL	Y to issues which w	ere newly			
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims v	nt(s) a)⊡ will not be entered or would be rejected is provided be	b)⊠ will be enteredelow or appended.	l and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 1-12						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8.⊠ The drawing correction filed on <u>23 July 2004</u> is a	)⊠ approved or b)□ disappro	oved by the Examin	ier.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	nent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper <u>No(s)</u>	DAVAIONID	DAVE			
10. Other:		RAYMOND J.				
		PRIMARY EX	AMINER			
		ART UNIT	2173			

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/832,719

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant has again presented the argument that the dynamically changing interface, as presented by Monteiro et al., does not provide a skin. As previously discussed in the Final office action, the office maintains the stance that the display of tabs that provide information specifically relevant to the song that is being played, is equivilant to a skin.